UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Ariel Louis Clark	Case No. 1:09 CR 290
	Defendant Defendant	Case No. 1.09 CR 290
	After conducting a detention hearing under the defendant be detained pending trial.	he Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
		Part I – Findings of Fact
(1)		described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of al offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined which the prison term is 10 years.	in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for ars or more.
	an offense for which the maximum s	entence is death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum pri	son term of ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defended U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or compared to the compared	ant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 arable state or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of viole	
	the possession or use of the control	of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon er 18 U.S.C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was conflocal offense.	ommitted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed offense described in finding (1).	since the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)		able presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another at defendant has not rebutted that presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
<u>√</u> (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the	e defendant has committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presu defendant's appearance and the safety of	nption established by finding (1) that no condition will reasonably assure the the community.
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant	Alternative Findings (B) will not appear.
\ '		will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
、 ,		tement of the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information sub	mitted at the detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing t:
unemple conviction arrested drug ch	oyed for 4 years, and has a longstanding, sons. Defendant failed to appear for sentend for new drug felony charges, to which he	rolving powder and crack cocaine. He is 27 years old, has been erious drug addiction. His criminal history features three adult drug felony cing on the second conviction and was on absconder status. He was bleaded guilty. He was again given bond, and was arrested on another set of ew drug crimes while on bond shows that he will pose a danger to the
	Part III -	Directions Regarding Detention
correction appeal. States Co	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable The defendant must be afforded a reasonal	of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a set, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending ble opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United vernment, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the oppearance.
Date: _	October 26, 2009 Ju	udge's Signature: _/s/ Joseph G. Scoville
_		Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge